

**Title of the article:**

Date received:

Date returned:

Date of acceptance:

The assessment of the articles reviewed for possible publication in the Latin American Law Review should take into consideration the following criteria. Please write your comments below for each category and provide a quantitative assessment (the assessment scale must be understood as follows: 1= deficient, 2= mediocre, 3= acceptable, 4= good, 5= outstanding). At the end of this format, you will find a box for additional comments.

Do you claim to have a conflict of interest with the article under evaluation?

1. General quality of the article (assess the contribution to the field of knowledge, originality, and relevance to be published in a journal of law)

|   |   |   |   |   |  |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
|   |   |   |   |   |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |

2. Argument Clarity and Coherence (assess if the article presents its arguments with accuracy and clarity and supports the hypotheses and conclusions)

|   |   |   |   |   |  |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
|   |   |   |   |   |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |

3. Bibliography and References (assess the treatment given to primary sources, as well as the command of the bibliography of the topic studied)

|   |   |   |   |   |  |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
|   |   |   |   |   |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
|   |   |   |   |   |  |

4. Formal presentation (assess the quality of the writing and the structure of the article)

|   |   |   |   |   |  |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
|   |   |   |   |   |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
|   |   |   |   |   |  |

5. The assessed article is

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Scientific or technological research article:</b> Document that presents detailed, original results of completed research projects. The structure generally contains four major sections: introduction, methodology, results and conclusions.   |  |
| <b>Reflection:</b> Document that presents the results of completed research from an analytical, interpretative or critical perspective drawing on original sources.  |  |
| <b>Review paper:</b> Document resulting from completed published or unpublished research in science or technology. Results are analyzed, systematized and integrated such that they give an account of the advances and development trends in the field. It includes a careful review of at least 50 references. |  |
| <b>Other:</b>  |  |

6. After evaluating the above, do you consider that this article should be:

|          |  |                       |  |          |  |
|----------|--|-----------------------|--|----------|--|
| ACCEPTED |  | ACCEPTED WITH CHANGES |  | REJECTED |  |
|----------|--|-----------------------|--|----------|--|

## 7. Additional suggestions from the reviewer

## 8. Reviewer Information

The review process is anonymous for both the evaluator and the author (who will be receiving your evaluation). However, given indexation requirements, we ask you to update the following information.

|  |  |
|--|--|
| Name:  |  |
| Nationality:   |  |
| Country of birth:  |  |
| Institutional affiliation:   |  |
| Level of education and degree:   |  |
| Publications in serial journals (in the last two years)  |  |
| ISSN:  |  |
| Email:   |  |
| National Identity Card /Passport/Foreigner Identification Number/National Identification Document: |  |
| H-index:   |  |
| ORCID:   |  |

Note: the information given to us by the evaluators is kept in strict confidentiality. This information is solely used for the journal's indexation process.